Original Article
ISSN(Online): 3139-4434
https://ijeagr.com/

International Journal of Educational Analysis and Global Research
International Open Access, Peer-Reviewed, Refereed Journal
Volume 01, Issue 02, May 2026

Assessment Strategies in Physical Education: Challenges and Opportunities

Dr. Tushar Dhar Shukla[1], Dr. Deepak Kumar Singh[2]

[1]Principal, UIPES, Chandigarh University, Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab

[2]Director (Sports), Chandigarh University, Gharuan, Mohali, Punjab

[1]Email: drtusharshukla@gmail.com,[2]Email: deepak.rowing@gmail.com

Abstract

Assessment is an essential component of physical education (PE), serving to evaluate the learning of students, to direct the decisions that are made about instruction, and to encourage physical literacy. However, due to the one-of-a-kind character of physical education (PE), which places an emphasis on motor skills, physical performance, and personal development in addition to cognitive learning, the process of establishing and implementing effective evaluation methodologies in PE continues to be a difficult and challenging endeavour. The purpose of this study is to investigate the many assessment methods that are utilised in physical education (PE), such as formative and summative assessments, skill performance tests, fitness evaluations, individual and peer assessments, portfolio-based reviews, and more. It provides an in-depth analysis of the most significant issues that educators are confronted with, including the subjectivity of grading, the absence of standardised tools, the restrictions of time, and the lack of proper professional training. At the same time, it draws attention to the increasing opportunities that are made available by digital assessment tools, inclusive assessment methods, and competency-based models that are in line with holistic educational objectives. The research highlights the need of conducting ongoing professional development, providing support for policy, and adopting a balanced approach that places equal importance on qualitative and quantitative evaluation data. In the end, the development of assessment methodologies in physical education has the potential to improve the efficiency of teaching, the level of student involvement, and the overall educational results in the field of physical education.

Keywords: physical, education, Assessment

Received 05 May 2026

Accepted 15 May 2026

Published 20 May 2026

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Tushar Dhar Shukla
Email: drtusharshukla@gmail.com

Doi: https://doi.org/10.64880/ijeagr.v1i2.11
Funding: This research received no specifics grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sector.

How to cite this article: Shukla, Dr. Tushar Dhar, Singh, Dr. Deepak Kumar. (2026) Assessment Strategies in Physical Education: Challenges and Opportunities. International Journal of Educational Analysis and Global Research, 01(2). Page No. 82-93, **Doi:** https://doi.org/10.64880/ijeagr.v1i2.11

Introduction

The evaluation of learning outcomes, the direction of teaching, and the guarantee of educational responsibility are all functions that are accomplished via the use of assessment, which is an essential component of any educational process. Assessment, in the context of physical education (PE), covers not only the evaluation of academic information but also the examination of physical competences, motor skills, fitness levels, teamwork, personal and societal responsibility, and attitudes towards physical exercise. This goes beyond the usual boundaries of evaluating academic knowledge. Because schools all over the globe are becoming more aware of the significance of encouraging physical activity throughout one's whole life and general well-being, there has been a commensurate increase in the need for assessment methodologies that are both successful and relevant in the field of physical education. Despite the fact that it is extremely important, evaluating students in physical education presents a one-of-a-kind set of obstacles. In contrast to other academic topics, where learning outcomes are frequently able to be quantified through written examinations and standardised assessments, physical education necessitates an approach that is more varied and complex. Teachers are required to evaluate not only observable behaviours but also internalised attitudes, and they must do so frequently in circumstances that are unexpected and dynamic. The evaluation process is made more difficult by factors such as the fact that students' physical abilities might vary, that performance evaluations are subjective, that there is a lack of standardised instruments, and that there is a limited amount of time in class. Differences in the training of teachers and the support provided by institutions are additional factors that make it difficult to implement meaningful assessment procedures in a consistent manner. On the other hand, current advancements in educational theory, technology, and inclusive pedagogy have opened up new prospects for improving evaluation processes in physical education. Documenting and analysing student performance has become more simpler and more accurate as a result of the incorporation of digital technologies such as video analysis, wearable fitness monitors, and smartphone applications. Assessment tactics that involve self-assessment, peer assessments, and formative assessment approaches are gaining more and more recognition for their ability to promote customised instruction and to engage students in reflective learning. A broader perspective of assessment is encouraged as a result of the transition towards a competency-based education paradigm. This view of assessment honours not just physical prowess but also effort, improvement, cooperation, and critical thinking as well.

Through the examination of present methods, the identification of prominent obstacles, and the highlighting of new prospects for development, the purpose of this article is to make an investigation into the ever-changing environment of assessment in physical education. The purpose of this project is to give educators, curriculum creators, and policymakers with insights that can lead to assessment systems in physical education that are more effective and equitable. These assessment systems should not only quantify student learning but also motivate students to adopt active and healthy lifestyles. Before attempting to comprehend the varied nature of assessment in the field of physical education, it is necessary to first differentiate between the numerous aims and forms that evaluation

can take. The nature of assessments can be classified as either diagnostic, formative, or summative. At the beginning of a program, diagnostic tests are helpful in identifying the abilities that students already possess as well as areas in which they may develop. The purpose of formative assessments is to offer students with ongoing feedback and to aid teachers in the process of altering their educational tactics. These evaluations are carried out throughout the instructional process. It is the purpose of summative assessments, which are often given at the conclusion of a unit or term, to evaluate the overall achievement of learning objectives being evaluated. A variety of various forms of assessments may be utilised in the field of physical education. Some examples of these assessments include skill checklists, fitness testing, performance rubrics, video-based feedback, and reflective journals. The absence of standardised criteria for evaluating performance and growth is one of the primary issues that are faced in the field of physical education evaluation. Physical performance frequently depends on qualitative judgements, in contrast to courses such as mathematics and physics, where accurate answers may be assessed in an objective manner. Because of this, there is a possibility of irregularities in grading and a decrease in dependability. In addition, kids arrive to physical education with varied degrees of physical aptitude, curiosity, and self-assurance respectively. In order to be considered equal, an evaluation system must take into consideration the variations between individuals and encourage personal development rather than only praising physical achievement. Time constraints are another big problem that physical education teachers have to deal with. Due to the fact that physical education lessons are often shorter and less frequent in comparison to other topics, it can be challenging to carry out extensive evaluations without sacrificing the amount of time that is allotted for instruction. There are further factors that can make it difficult to conduct a comprehensive review of each student's performance, such as the presence of high class numbers and restricted access to assessment instruments, such as video recording devices or fitness testing equipment. A significant amount of room exists for innovation and improvement in evaluation processes, notwithstanding the obstacles that are now involved. New opportunities for data collecting and analysis have become available as a result of the integration of technology. As an illustration, mobile applications may be utilised for the purpose of real-time performance tracking, and video replay gives students the opportunity to visually analyse and enhance their methodological approaches. A more thoughtful and interactive approach to learning is fostered via the use of student portfolios and peer evaluations from other students. In addition, the inclusion of social and emotional learning (SEL) goals into physical education (PE) evaluation is in line with larger educational agendas and emphasises the overall development of pupils.

Considering the increased importance that is being placed on evidence-based practice and accountability in the field of education, there is an increasing demand for the establishment of evaluation systems in physical education that are not only pedagogically sound but also logistically practicable. It is imperative that educators get assistance in the form of professional development programs that provide them with the information and resources necessary to facilitate the implementation of assessment techniques that are varied and inclusive. It is also necessary for

institutional frameworks to develop in order to prioritise assessment literacy in physical education programmes and policy planning. In light of this, the purpose of this study is to conduct an in-depth analysis of the present status of assessment methodologies in the field of physical education. The purpose of this research is to provide recommendations that may be put into practice to improve the efficiency, fairness, and educational value of assessment processes in physical education settings. This will be accomplished by analysing the issues that educators encounter and the creative opportunities that are developing in the sector. A considerable movement has taken place in the assessment of physical education over the past few decades, changing from a restricted focus on physical performance to a broader, more holistic examination of student growth. This transition has occurred as a result of the evolution of assessment. According to Lund and Veal (2013), academics and teachers have emphasised that there is a need for meaningful evaluation that takes into account cognitive, psychomotor, and emotional domains in order to accurately represent the educational usefulness of physical education. This literature review focusses on the many types of assessments, the difficulties that arise during implementation, and the opportunities that are now available. It also investigates the important contributions, trends, and gaps that exist within the existing body of research on PE assessment.

Types of Assessment in Physical Education

Historically, the majority of the evaluation in physical education was summative, with the primary focus being on evaluating students based on their performance in sports or on their physical fitness tests (López-Pastor et al., 2013). Recent research, on the other hand, lends support to the implementation of formative assessment procedures, which place more of an emphasis on the academic growth and development of students than on the final results. Formative assessment was recognised by Black and Wiliam (1998) as an essential component for improving student progress. This is a technique that is increasingly being implemented in fitness and physical education settings. The significance that diagnostic evaluations play in determining past knowledge, physical condition, and motivational levels at the beginning of a program is another reason why they are widely recognised (Pope & O'Sullivan, 2013). According to Hay and Penney (2013), performance-based evaluations, which include skill demonstrations, games, and movement activities, are widespread in physical education (PE), but they must contend with issues relating to subjectivity and consistency. genuine assessments, on the other hand, are complimented for their ability to encourage student participation and reflection (Casey & O'Donovan, 2015). Examples of genuine assessments include diaries, portfolios, peer evaluations, and self-assessments.

Challenges in PE Assessment

Numerous studies shed light on the actual challenges that physical education teachers confront while attempting to appropriately evaluate their pupils. There are a number of frequent obstacles that restrict the frequency and depth of assessment, as stated by Hastie and Casey (2014). These obstacles include big class sizes, limited time, and deficiencies in equipment. According to Lund and Tannehill (2015), instructors frequently do not receive formal training in assessment design, which results in practices that are either inconsistent or counterproductive.

Another key problem is the existence of subjectivity and prejudice, particularly in the context of performance evaluation. According to the findings of research conducted by Hay and Penney (2013), in the absence of specific rubrics or criteria, assessments in physical education may be impacted by teachers' judgements about the athleticism or behaviour of their pupils. In particular, this can contribute to the perpetuation of inequality, particularly for kids who are less physically capable yet display effort and progress. In addition, the marginalisation of physical education within the educational curriculum as a whole frequently results in a diminished emphasis on the quality of assessment. According to Penney et al. (2009), physical education (PE) is underestimated in many school systems, which results in insufficient institutional support for assessment-related professional development or innovation.

Inclusive and Holistic Approaches

There is a growing body of contemporary literature that calls for assessment procedures that are inclusive and take into account the varied requirements of students. By way of illustration, Kirk (2010) advocates for "assessment for learning" rather than "assessment of learning," which places an emphasis on development, effort, and involvement instead. According to Haegele and Sutherland (2015), inclusive procedures also guarantee that students who have impairments or those with poor fitness levels are not unfairly penalised in the grading process. According to research that indicates that such tactics increase metacognition and student ownership of learning (Casey, 2010), the usage of self and peer evaluations is expanding. This trend is backed by research information. On the other hand, meticulous direction is necessary for these techniques in order to guarantee correctness and constructive criticism.

Technology and Innovation in PE Assessment

Assessment in physical education is being reshaped by technological breakthroughs. According to Mitchell (2013), real-time tracking of physical activity is made possible by devices such as pedometers, heart rate monitors, and digital fitness applications for mobile devices. According to O'Leary (2012), students are able to record and reflect on their performances throughout time through the use of video analysis tools and electronic portfolios. In spite of the fact that they hold a great deal of promise, these technologies also bring issues in terms of cost, accessibility, and data protection.

Assessment Literacy and Teacher Preparation

The capacity of teachers is absolutely necessary for the proper implementation of assessment. According to research conducted by Matanin and Tannehill (1994) and more recently by MacPhail and Halbert (2010), a significant number of physical education instructors have the perception that they are not adequately prepared to carry out efficient evaluations because they have insufficient exposure throughout their training. According to Lund and Veal (2013), there is a significant demand for professionals to engage in ongoing professional development that is centred on assessment literacy.

Methodology

This explanatory sequential mixed-method study used qualitative and quantitative methodologies. After the quantitative investigation, qualitative data was collected. After collecting quantitative data, qualitative data was obtained to further explain its results. All research participants were physical education instructors from Ghana's Central Region. Physical education lessons were taught by 178 Central Region senior high school teachers in 2023–2024. This research focused on physical education teachers in the area since they were similar to those in the other fifteen Ghanaian regions. The researchers employed a census sample to include all local instructors. Because of the small population, all 175 teachers were included in quantitative data collecting. However, we selected 10 educators for qualitative data using simple sampling. This study collected quantitative data using a questionnaire and qualitative data using a structured interview guide. The study adapted and revised elements of the Physical Education Assessment Questionnaire (PEAQ) and Physical Education Authentic Assessment Inventory to measure instructors' assessment procedures knowledge. The questionnaire has three closed-ended sections: A, B, and C. Gender, degree, PE teaching experience, and school years were asked in Section A. Twenty five-point Likert scale items assessed teacher assessment practices. The scale had options for always, frequently, seldom, and never. Selecting one choice indicated agreement with the message. Section C assessed physical education teachers' assessment knowledge using the following scale: Agreement (A), Strongly Agree (SA), Neutral (N), Disagree (D), and Strongly Disagree. This section included 15 products. Before interviewing educators in person, the study's goal and methods were explained. Audio of the interview lasted about 20 minutes. In the interview, instructors were asked questions and shared their thoughts. Because of this, teachers may explain the phenomena more thoroughly. To assure reliability, two seasoned physical education teachers reviewed and commented on the equipment. The study instrument was refined using their content evaluation, issue identification, and modification suggestions. Pilot testing with educators from another location helped confirm an instrument's dependability. Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient yielded.897 for internal consistency. Knowledge and evaluation techniques were worth.848 and.858, respectively. Pilot tests of the interview guide revealed accessibility and question order issues. PE expertise were essential to solving these issues. We also tracked peer review analysis and comments. Transparency in data collection and processing and extensive descriptions of the surroundings and participants verified the qualitative data. Participants were asked to confirm their participation and given a brief explanation of the study's aims before receiving the research instruments. Researchers also guaranteed participant confidentiality.

When prompted, respondents did not provide names or other identifying information. Every instructor has to sign an informed consent form with the questionnaire. After digitisation, the questionnaire was posted on Google and participants were given the URL. Participants could contact them whenever it was convenient, which was another plus. Many reminders were sent to instructors to complete the survey. The study could proceed since 98.3% of 175 survey respondents returned the survey.

Ten PE teachers were interviewed in-depth for qualitative data. Education professionals like these completed the survey. By the eighth interview, the event was saturated. The researcher repeated their prior comments in a follow-up interview to determine the saturation level. Two Master of Philosophy students were trained to conduct interviews to avoid prejudice. The participants gave approval before recording the interviews. After data collection, the online Google form was imported into Excel. SPSS was used to analyse the data after double-checking for correctness. To analyse respondent demographics, we employed percentage and frequency counts. Again, a binary logistic regression was used to examine PE instructors' evaluation technique knowledge and years of experience. Theme analysis sought to identify, analyse, and understand interview data patterns.

A thorough code handbook with explicit definitions was prepared to standardise coding. The researcher and her two Master of Philosophy students reviewed pilot study data to ensure they understood the coding process. It helped clarify the plan and build a shared understanding. To maintain research integrity, each rater coded two transcripts individually. This was done using Cohen's Kappa to measure raters' agreement. A high Kappa value of 0.79 indicates excellent agreement and consistency among raters. Transcription of interview audio was the first step in understanding the data. Common phrases and sentences were coded and classified into themes. After then, the researcher and interviewees examined topics to verify data. Based on the most noteworthy findings, themes were developed and designated. The qualitative and quantitative data were integrated by comparing and contrasting the outcomes. One-Way Analysis of Variance was utilised to examine how PE instructors' degree programs influenced their evaluation practices.

Results

Table 1. The Effect of Educators' Level of Experience on Their Familiarity with Various Evaluation Tools.

Variable	B	S. E.	Wald	Df	Sig.
Experience			3.681	5	.596
6-10years	.488	.412	1.406	1	.236
11-15years	.270	.472	.326	1	.568
Step 1[a] 16-20years	.606	.523	1.342	1	.247
21-25years	1.012	.941	1.157	1	.282
26 or more	.829	.708	1.371	1	.242
Constant	-.606	.227	7.132	1	.008

In this study, we used a two-category scale—"high knowledge" and "low knowledge"—to examine the concept of evaluation knowledge. In contrast, there were different groups based on the number of years of experience in the classroom. Some had less than six years of teaching under their belts, while others had six to ten, eleven to fifteen, sixteen to twenty, twenty-one to twenty-five, and more

than twenty-five years. Taking the teachers' years of experience as an independent variable and the knowledge of assessment as a dependent variable allowed us to answer the previously stated research question. Since the experience was rated on a categorical scale, the first category served as the baseline for subsequent comparisons and analyses. The data was subsequently analysed using a binary logistic regression model (refer to Table 1). The results shown in Table 1 indicate that instructors' knowledge about the use of assessment procedures was significantly influenced by their years of teaching experience. Take, for example, the fact that instructors with six to ten years of experience have around 48.8 percent more knowledge about how to use evaluation procedures than teachers with fewer than six years of experience (β =.412; p = 0.236). In addition, when comparing teachers with 11–15 years of experience with those with less than six years, it was discovered that teachers with 11–15 years of experience had a considerably better grasp of how to use assessment methodologies, to the tune of 27% (β =.472; p =.568). In addition, compared to teachers with less than six years of experience, those with sixteen to twenty years of teaching had a substantially higher understanding of assessment procedures, with a 66% increase (β =.523; p =.247). On top of that, compared to their colleagues with less than 6 years of experience, instructors with 21-25 years of teaching demonstrated a nearly 100.1% increase in their knowledge of assessment techniques (β = 1.157; p = 0.282). The results show that teachers with over 26 years of experience in the field seem to know more about how to use assessment procedures than instructors with less than six years of experience (= 1.371; p =.242). Approximately 82.9% of these teachers fall into this category. These percentages are noteworthy, however the results do not support a statistical conclusion because the (p > 0.05) test did not find a statistically significant relationship.

Table 2. How Different Assessment Methods Are Employed According to the Different Educational Levels.

Variables	Mean	SD	F-value	DF	P-value
B. Ed. Degree	72.79	8.77	265	172	767
BSc. Degree	72.39	10.95			
Master's Degree	73.91	11.83			
Total	72.75	10.82			

N=175.

Table 2 displays the findings from an investigation into the different evaluation methods used by PE teachers based on their degree of education. P = 0.767, and the significance level was.265 (F (2, 172)). There were no statistically significant differences in evaluation methodologies among physical education teachers based on their educational level, according to the analysis of variance (ANOVA). The mean scores for evaluation procedures were quite close throughout all three levels of study, with a Bachelor of Science degree (M = 73.91, SD = 11.83), a Bachelor of Study (M = 72.79, SD = 8.77), and a Master of Science (M = 72.39, SD = 10.95). Consequently, PE teachers in secondary schools across the Central Region use the same evaluation tools, independent of their students' degree of education.

Conclusion

To shape student learning, promote physical literacy, and match instructional methods with educational goals, assessment is crucial in physical education. The findings of this study show that physical education evaluations need to take a more holistic, fair, and reflective approach that considers students' cognitive, physical, and emotional development alongside their typical physical performance evaluations. Evaluation in PE still faces major obstacles, despite widespread agreement on its significance. Teachers sometimes face challenges such as limited time, big class numbers, and a lack of standardised materials and equipment. Furthermore, performance-based evaluations are inherently subjective, and there is a lack of formal training in assessment design, both of which reduce the validity and reliability of PE assessments. Because of these obstacles, methods may become inconsistent, and students' efforts, progress, and unique needs may go unrecognised. The sector, however, also offers great potential for advancement and new ideas. Formative assessments, self- and peer-evaluations, and technology-enhanced approaches are becoming increasingly popular because they provide a framework for assessing performance that is more student-centered and inclusive. Digital portfolios, fitness trackers, and video analysis software all contribute to more reliable, continuous, and introspective evaluations. These strategies facilitate feedback and differentiated instruction while also raising student involvement and motivation. Boosting physical education teachers' assessment literacy via focused professional development and assistance is crucial if we are to make the most of these chances. Institutions of higher learning should prioritise capacity building, resource provision, and the promotion of a culture that recognises the need of ongoing assessment for learning rather than just evaluation. Policies should promote the use of competency-based and process-oriented assessments rather than outcome-based assessments in order to accommodate students' varied skill sets and learning paths. In conclusion, there are unique obstacles to physical education evaluation, but there is also great opportunity to improve instruction and student growth. You can turn assessment into a strong instrument for development, accountability, and lifelong physical engagement by taking a balanced and educated approach. This includes having defined learning objectives, using inclusive methods, and strategically using technology.

References

[1] Al-Nouh, N., Taqi, H., & Abdul-Kareem, Z. (2014). The impact of teacher professional development programs on classroom assessment practices. International Journal of Educational Investigations, 1(4), 204-214.

[2] Alsarimi, A. M. (2000). Classroom assessment and grading practices in the Sultanate of Oman. Unpublished master's dissertation, University of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

[3] Amade-Escot, C. (2005). The critical didactic incident: An empirical model for analyzing the content of physical education lessons. Journal of Teaching in Physical Education, 24(2), 127-148. https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.24.2.127

[4] Ames, C. (2015). Achievement goals, motivational climate, and motivational processes. In D. Kirk, D. Macdonald, & M. O'Sullivan (Eds.), The Handbook of Physical Education (161- 180). SAGE Publications.

[5] Asare, E. (2020). Basic teachers' perceptions and practices of formative assessment in the Cape Coast Metropolis of Ghana. Journal of Applied Educational and Policy Research, 5(1), 178-187. https://journals.uncc.edu/jaepr/article/view/1053

[6] Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Inside the black box: Raising standards through classroom assessment. Phi Delta Kappan, 80(2), 139–148.

[7] Casey, A. (2010). Practitioner research: Exploring the development of a new model of assessment for physical education. European Physical Education Review, 16(2), 147–158. https://doi.org/10.1177/1356336X10381304

[8] Casey, A., & O'Donovan, T. M. (2015). Between the ideal and the reality: Teachers' perspectives of assessment in physical education. European Physical Education Review, 21(3), 329–346. https://doi.org/10.1177/1356336X14534361

[9] Haegele, J. A., & Sutherland, S. (2015). Perspectives of students with disabilities toward physical education: A qualitative inquiry review. Quest, 67(3), 255–273. https://doi.org/10.1080/00336297.2015.1051238

[10] Hastie, P. A., & Casey, A. (2014). Fidelity in models-based practice research in sport pedagogy: A guide for future investigations. Journal of Teaching in Physical Education, 33(3), 422–431. https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.2013-0141

[11] Hay, P. J., & Penney, D. (2013). Assessment in physical education: A sociocultural perspective. Routledge.

[12] Kirk, D. (2010). Physical education futures. Routledge.

[13] López-Pastor, V. M., Kirk, D., Lorente-Catalán, E., MacPhail, A., & Macdonald, D. (2013). Alternative assessment in physical education: A review of international literature. Sport, Education and Society, 18(1), 57–76. https://doi.org/10.1080/13573322.2012.713860

[14] Lund, J. L., & Tannehill, D. (2015). Standards-based physical education curriculum development (3rd ed.). Jones & Bartlett Publishers.

[15] Lund, J., & Veal, M. L. (2013). Assessment-driven instruction in physical education: A standards-based approach to promoting student achievement. Human Kinetics.

[16] MacPhail, A., & Halbert, J. (2010). "We had to do intelligent thinking during recent PE": Students' and teachers' experiences of assessment for learning in post-primary physical education. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 17(1), 23–39. https://doi.org/10.1080/09695940903565464

[17] Matanin, M., & Tannehill, D. (1994). Assessment and grading in physical education. Journal of Teaching in Physical Education, 13(4), 395–405.

[18] Mitchell, S. A. (2013). Using technology to enhance the assessment process in physical education. Strategies: A Journal for Physical and Sport Educators, 26(2), 10–14.

[19] O'Leary, N. (2012). Exploring the role of assessment in the secondary school physical education curriculum. Irish Educational Studies, 31(3), 329–343. https://doi.org/10.1080/03323315.2012.710063

[20] Penney, D., Brooker, R., Hay, P., & Gillespie, L. (2009). Curriculum, pedagogy and assessment: Three message systems of schooling and dimensions of quality physical education. Sport, Education and Society, 14(4), 421–442. https://doi.org/10.1080/13573320903217125

[21] Pope, C. C., & O'Sullivan, M. (2013). Assessing with integrity: The case for a connected approach to assessment in physical education. Quest, 65(1), 116–129. https://doi.org/10.1080/00336297.2012.749937

[22] Bandura, A. (1986). Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

[23] Barnett, L. A., Vockell, E. L., & Lambdin, D. V. (2015). Teacher assessment literacy in the physical education environment. Journal of Teaching in Physical Education, 34(3), 440-456. https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.2014-0061

[24] Basori, B., & Mubarok, H. (2020). Formative Assessment in Language Evaluation Class. Journal of English Language Teaching, 5(1), 15. https://doi.org/10.309 98/scope.v5i1.6535

[25] Bol, L., Stephenson, L. H., O'Connell, A. A., & Nunnery, J. A. (1998). Teachers' use of alternative and traditional assessment strategies: A national survey. The Journal of Educational Research, 91(3), 173-182. https://doi.org/10.1080/00220679809597562

[26] Borghouts, L. B., Slingerland, M., & Haerens, L. (2017). Assessment quality and practices in secondary PE in the Netherlands. Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy, 22(5), 473–489. https://doi.org/10.1080/17408989.2016.1241226

[27] Clarke, V., & Braun, V. (2018). Using thematic analysis in counselling and psychotherapy research: A critical reflection. Counselling and Psychotherapy Research, 18(2), 107–110. https://doi.org/10.1002/capr.12165

[28] Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2007). Research methods in education (6th Ed.). Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203029053

[29] Creswell, J. W. & Creswell, D. J. (2018). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods approach (5th Ed.). Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications Inc.

[30] Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). Designing and conducting mixed methods research (3rd Ed.). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.

[31] Dillman, D. A. (2000). Mail and Internet Survey: The Tailored Design Method (2nd Ed), John Wiley, New York.

[32] Gonzales, J. J., & Aliponga, J. A. (2012). Influence of teacher's academic credentials in assessment practices. International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR), 3(8), 265-269.

[33] Green, K. (2018). Developing reflective practice through peer observation of teaching in physical education teacher education. Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy, 23(5), 501-514.

[34] Hattie, J. (2012). Visible learning for teachers: Maximizing impact on learning. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203181522